

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

In re RIPPLE LABS INC. LITIGATION,

| Case No. 18-cv-06753-PJH

This Document Relates To:
All Actions

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION
TO DISMISS COUNTS FOUR, SIX, AND
SEVEN OF THE CONSOLIDATED FIRST
AMENDED COMPLAINT AND REQUEST
FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE**

1 Defendants Ripple Labs Inc. (“Ripple”), XRP II LLC, and Bradley Garlinghouse’s (collectively,
2 “Defendants”) Motion to Dismiss Counts Four, Six, and Seven of the Consolidated First Amended
3 Complaint and Defendants’ Request for Judicial Notice was heard in the above-referenced Court.
4 Appearances of counsel are as noted in the Court’s record.

5 On consideration of all the papers filed in connection therewith and the oral argument of counsel,
6 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

7 1. Defendants’ Request for Judicial Notice is **GRANTED**. The Court takes judicial notice of
8 Exhibits A through D attached to the Declaration of Maxwell V. Pritt in Support of Defendants’ Request
9 for Judicial Notice, including because they are relied upon, cited to, and quoted from in Plaintiff’s
10 Consolidated First Amended Complaint, or because such notice is required by statute.

11 2. Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss Counts Four, Six, and Seven of the Consolidated First
12 Amended Complaint is **GRANTED** and Plaintiff’s Fourth, Sixth, and Seventh Claims are **DISMISSED**
13 **WITH PREJUDICE**.

14
15
16 Dated: _____

17 HON. PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
18 UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28